

Published by Windhorse Publications  
11 Park Road, Birmingham, B13 8AB

© Sangharakshita, 1998  
digital version 2006

Designed by Dhammarati  
Text compiled by Abhaya

Photographs have been omitted from this digital version, which means some pages are blank.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data:  
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 1 899579 08 7

## CONTENTS

*Introduction* 2

*Sangharakshita: A Short Biography* 4

BUDDHISM IN MINIATURE 11

A LONG WAY TO GO 23

THE COURAGE OF ONE'S POSITIVE EMOTIONS 37

THE HEAT OF THE FURNACE 49

THE REAL REVOLUTIONARY 65

NO LAST-MINUTE METHODS 79

FRIENDSHIP AND COMMUNICATION 89

THE CIRCLE OF ETERNITY 105

*Sources* 117

*List of Illustrations* 121

## INTRODUCTION

**M**ANY PEOPLE'S FIRST taste of Sangharakshita's interpretation of the Dharma, the teaching of the Buddha, is through his books on Buddhism, or through classes at a centre of the Friends of the Western Buddhist Order, the Buddhist movement that he founded in 1967. Others may have first come across him in a slim collection of sayings and writings entitled *Peace is a Fire*, which appeared almost twenty years ago. Since then he has continued to write and to speak, with great clarity and conviction, on a variety of Buddhist and related topics, generating a steady stream of words that have inspired spiritual change in the lives of thousands of men and women in various parts of the world. It is clearly time for a new anthology.

The impetus to start work on a second collection came when Sangharakshita handed me a neatly written notebook full of unpublished aphorisms and extracts from personal correspondence. For the rest, I sifted through some of his prodigious output since 1979: mostly literary versions of his talks, as well as several transcripts of study seminars that he conducted in the early eighties.

INTRODUCTION 7

This new selection reflects the development of Sangharakshita's further thinking on a diversity of topics. He considers the nature of Buddhist tolerance, the danger of charisma, and the relationship between rights and duties, and looks again at various aspects of spiritual development, showing how it extends to the individual's responsibility as citizen, parent, and friend. Open the book at random, and you might come across an aphorism about the Genghis Khan complex, about metaphor as a clue to the nature of reality, or the connection between a sexual relationship and a lobster pot!

The aphorisms, by their very nature, stand by, and speak for, themselves. The rest are excerpts. While they stood out from their context, asking, so to speak, to be chosen, they inevitably lost something in the process of extraction, like jewels loosened from their setting. Hopefully, some readers, sensing this, will feel inspired, where possible, to trace the extracts back to their original context.

*Dharmachari Abhaya, Cambridge, August 1997*

### SANGHARAKSHITA : *A Short Biography*

SANGHARAKSHITA (D.P.E. LINGWOOD) is one of the most prominent Buddhist figures of the second half of the twentieth century. In his teens he read widely in Western literature and comparative religion, and studied whatever Buddhist texts he could get hold of. Two of these, the *Diamond Sutra* and the *Platform Sutra* of the Zen School, had a profound impact on him, convincing him that he was, and always had been, a Buddhist.

After leaving the army in 1945 he remained in India, where he eventually received ordination as a Buddhist monk and studied with several teachers from the major traditions of Buddhism. He established a Buddhist vihara in Kalimpong in the foothills of the Himalayas. There he immersed himself in the study and practice of the Dharma and in teaching. At this time he also wrote what is perhaps his most influential work, *A Survey of Buddhism*.

In 1956, the great social reformer Dr B.R. Ambedkar renounced Hinduism and turned to Buddhism, together with many thousands of his 'Untouchable' followers. Immediately following Ambedkar's

sudden death soon after the mass conversions, Sangharakshita played a crucial role in rallying Ambedkar's grief-stricken followers and keeping alive their faith in Buddhism.

After twenty years in India Sangharakshita returned to England and in 1967 set up the Buddhist movement called the Friends of the Western Buddhist Order (FWBO). His work in India was eventually taken up by some of his Western disciples, and TBMSG (Trailokya Bauddha Mahasangha Sahayak Gana), as the FWBO is known in India, is now a thriving and expanding part of the movement. Sangharakshita has written fascinating accounts of the first twelve years of his life in India in three volumes of memoirs.

Since his return to the West, he has given himself unstintingly to the work of spreading the Dharma, his greatest achievement being the foundation of a Buddhist movement that has successfully taken root in the conditions of secularized and industrialized Western civilization. FWBO centres now flourish in many countries, along with residential spiritual communities and 'Right Livelihood' businesses. Sangharakshita has also written prolifically, on Buddhism and related subjects.

In the last few years Sangharakshita has handed on most of his responsibilities to senior members of the Western Buddhist Order.

He devotes most of his time to his literary work, but from time to time visits FWBO centres in different parts of the world.

## THE SPIRITUAL PATH

- Buddhism and  
William Blake.  
Essay*    **B**UDDHISM IS A universal teaching. It speaks to all men. It speaks to them, moreover, not as belonging to any particular social group, e.g. clan, tribe, caste, race, nation, but as individual human beings. What it tells each one of them is that he can grow – that he can grow from manhood to Buddhahood, or from unenlightened humanity into enlightened humanity. It also tells him how he can do this.
- Aphorism*    Some are attracted to Buddhism because they find in it the confirmation of their ideas. It would be better if they were attracted by it because it refutes their ideas.
- Aphorism*    You cannot be a Buddhist unless you are free *not* to be a Buddhist. A Sinhalese, apparently, is not free not to be a Buddhist.
- A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture*    One supremely important fact is that we *can* change; that consciousness *can* be restructured, *can* be redeveloped: hatred *can* be changed into love.

Buddhism is misunderstood if it is identified with just one or another of its specific forms, its particular cultural variants. It is rather like identifying a whole oak tree with just one single branch, or even, in some cases, with one single acorn.

*ibid.*

There is no such thing as natural man. Any attempt to treat man as other than a spiritual being distorts him.

*Aphorism*

Any kind of life which is making no effort to evolve is escapism.

*Aphorism*

What every living thing, what every living being, wants to do most of all is to fulfil the law of its own being, and the law of our being is – as it is the law of the being of every living thing – that we should develop.

*A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture*

*A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture*

How terrible it would be if in a year's time we were just the same people that we are today! And in five years' time, the same people! Ten years' time, or when we came to die!... None of us, surely, likes to think that even in a year's time – or maybe even next week, if we are sufficiently idealistic! – we'll be exactly where we are just at this moment.

*ibid.* Buddhism is not yet really known in the West at all.

*The Three Jewels*

In reality Buddhism is neither pessimistic nor optimistic. If compelled to label it in this way at all we should borrow a word from George Eliot and call it melioristic, for though asserting that conditioned existence is suffering it also maintains, as the Third Aryan Truth teaches, that suffering can be transcended.

### THE VOICE OF SILENCE

Close, eyes; behold no more the rich array  
Of forms and vivid colours. Touch, be still;  
Grove not for lover's hand, or lips that will  
Sting you awake to bliss by night or day.  
Relish no more the scent of new-mown hay,  
Or flowers, or incense, nostrils. Take your fill  
Of tastes no more, O watery tongue, nor trill  
Delicious notes in cadence grave or gay.

For when the senses and the sensual mind  
Are laid asleep, and self itself suspended,  
And naught is left to strive for or to seek,  
Then, to the inmost spirit, thrice refined,  
Thrice pure, before that trance sublime has ended,  
With voice of thunder, will the Silence speak.

SUFFERING AND HAPPINESS

*Aphorism* IF ONE IS UNHAPPY, one wants to know the reason why. But it never occurs to one to ask why one is happy. It is therefore unhappiness, rather than happiness, that causes us to reflect upon our condition. It is unhappiness that makes us think.

*Seminar on The Precious Garland* The tragedy is not that we don't get what we want, but that we *do* get what we want, and then we're stuck with it, and very often we find that it's not what we wanted at all.

*The Three Jewels* What the third *lakshana*<sup>1</sup> really means is, in positive terms, that nirvana alone is peace, and negatively that conditioned things are painful because we seek in them for that absolute bliss which only the Unconditioned can bestow and have, therefore, inevitably to experience disappointment and frustration.

*The Path of the Inner Life* Mankind progresses for the same reason that the amoeba evolves – from irritation. There was never any flower of

human achievement but some great sorrow lay at its root.

Sometimes, of course, we just try – we may even do our best – to forget the whole bothersome question of personal development. Especially when it's a fine summer day, and the beach is calling, and your friends, maybe, want to take you out, you think: `Why bother? Why not just be an ordinary human being and forget all about this question of the Higher Evolution and Personal Development?' But, fortunately or unfortunately, luckily or unluckily, once you've reached a certain point, once self-consciousness has really started to emerge, once you've really started to think, once you've really started to *feel*, once you've really started to *imagine*, you cannot really do that.

*A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture*

The happiness that you feel when you satisfy a desire is not due to the satisfaction of the desire but to the momentary cessation of the desire. But the desire

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

returns. Therefore desires can never be satisfied: they can only be overcome, abandoned.

*The Stages of the  
Spiritual Path.  
Lecture*

This is what is really meant by *dukkha*: a sort of disharmony, a jarring quality that we experience in the course of our everyday life in this world.... Things are never one hundred per cent right. There's always something, even if it's a little something, that goes wrong. Even in the course of the most beautiful day it seems, only too often, a cloud has to float across the face of the sky. Something goes wrong. Maybe you've prepared expectantly for a very beautiful day. You're going to meet somebody whom you like, things are going to be so lovely, so beautiful. But then some absurd incident happens and it all goes wrong. Then you feel completely out of tune, completely 'jangled', by whatever has happened. And very often this is our experience of life. Most of the time this is how we go through life, feeling like this. We find that everything from which we expected so much fails and doesn't live

up to our expectations. This sort of experience is what is called *dukhka*, Unsatisfactoriness or Suffering.

We have a responsibility for our own life, a responsibility for our own growth, a responsibility for our own happiness.

*A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture*

Happy is the man whose needs and whose wants coincide.

*Aphorism*

Experiences are rarely satisfying in themselves: only in anticipation and recollection.

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

People who suffer are not necessarily nearer to the realization of the Aryan Truth of Suffering than those who do not. One can, indeed, have insight into the Truth of Suffering while experiencing happiness (e.g. when meditating), for in the transcendental perspective even happiness is suffering – not in the sense of being actually painful, as a sensation or a feeling, but in the sense of being a conditioned thing.

*Correspondence*

RELIGION AND BELIEF

*Aphorism* IT IS BEST NOT TO BELIEVE in God. If one does believe in him, one should at least disobey him. To believe in him *and* obey him is pitiable.

*Aphorism* We ceased to believe in God the day he was proved to exist.

*Aphorism* The meaning of life is to be found only beyond life.

*Aphorism* Ultimate spiritual choices are not to be determined by cultural considerations.

*Aphorism* Religions, as they have come down to us, are gold mixed with ore. Sometimes the quantity of gold is so small, compared with the quantity of ore, that it is not worth the labour of extraction. Better open up a new mine.

*Aphorism* An ethnic religion is one whose members have a common past; a missionary religion, one in which they have a common future.

Religions that have gone rotten with age are good for compost, not food. *Aphorism*

Better to end up on the dung heap than in a museum. *Aphorism*

If Christianity is of supernatural origin, I fail to see how it can be `part' of our cultural heritage. One is therefore not being untrue to the heritage if one rejects Christianity. *Aphorism*

Religion is essentially the experience of egolessness; the truly religious life is the life of egolessness; and genuinely religious beliefs and practices are those by which the realization of egolessness can be achieved. *The Religion of Art*

The besetting sin of organized Christianity is intolerance, that of Islam fanaticism, of Hinduism inhumanity, and of Buddhism laziness and indifference. *Aphorism*

Universalism does not mean comparing the letters of different traditions, but trying to get through to the spirit. *Aphorism*

*Seminar on  
Outlines of  
Mahayana  
Buddhism*

I think it is, in a way, very suspect (to say) that there is a separate religious sense, or a separate religious consciousness, and that some people have it and some people don't.... If you use the word religious it seems to indicate a sort of co-ordination of all one's faculties and one's whole being in a certain direction which you recognize to be ultimate.

*ibid.*

It seems to me that if you use the words `religious consciousness', it's a total consciousness. It's when all of your being – your head and your heart and your will – is directed, totally and integrally if possible, on to those things or that thing which you regard as of the highest importance and significance: then you can speak in terms of the `religious consciousness'. It's not a sort of separate faculty, which needs a separate satisfaction. It's your own total commitment to, or total preoccupation with, what is, as far as you can see, of absolute importance – or of the greatest importance, if you like. It's not a *separate kind* of consciousness.

In a traditional civilization ... religion (to use the narrow modern term) is not something from which a man can escape, even if he wants to; for it encounters him at every step, with the familiar objects of home and the accustomed routine of daily life. Nurtured in such an environment, in which the whole of existence appears to be a great Smaragdine Tablet, constantly reminding us that 'the things below are copies' and that the originals are above, sensitive hearts and minds become more subtle and sensitive still. To them 'rocks, and stones, and trees', and other natural objects, are not simply lumps of matter of various shapes and sizes, but 'huge cloudy symbols of a high romance' traced, not by the 'magic hand of chance', but by the irresistible finger of omnipresent spiritual law. Nature is not dead, but alive with many voices, and to an eye accustomed to see and to hear things that point beyond themselves, even

*An old pine tree is preaching wisdom,  
And a wild bird crying out truth.*

To be a pagan is to have a positive attitude towards Nature and towards oneself as a part of Nature.

*A Survey of  
Buddhism*

*Aphorism*

WORK AND ACTION

*Aphorism* OUTER ACHIEVEMENTS should be expressions of inner abundance, not compensation for inner poverty.

*Aphorism* People who have no real work of their own to do will always interfere with that of others. They may even make it their `work' to interfere.

*Aphorism* Find the truth, and then live by it.

*Aphorism* `Work makes the companion,' says Goethe. Therefore, if you have no work you have no companion. Those are not companions with whom we merely amuse ourselves.

*Aphorism* That one is able to do a thing is no reason for doing it.

*Aphorism* There is no such thing as the will. What we think of as the will is simply our idea of ourselves as performing an action. To say that we *will* to do something is meaningless. We do it – or do not do it. The will is a myth.

One should be useful – but useful only within the much larger context of complete uselessness.

*Seminar on the  
Ramaguna-  
Samchayagatha*

One should try to be too big to be used.

*ibid.*

It's a virtue to be ornamental as well as useful.

*ibid.*

Success in any field is not without its drawbacks.

*Correspondence*

I sometimes quite happily do nothing! But when I'm doing nothing, I don't mean `not doing anything'! Not sort of sitting there in a slightly negative mood feeling that you're not doing anything but maybe you ought to be doing something. If there is something to be done, well, of course, do it! But if there is nothing to be done, well, *positively enjoy* that state of not doing anything!

*Seminar on The  
Buddha*

To be able to do one thing at a time is the whole art of life.

*Aphorism*

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

It is not a sign of spirituality to allow oneself to be exploited. Sooner or later you begin to start resenting it.

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

You can't help helping others when you are truly helping yourself.... One can help others even simply by providing facilities whereby they can help themselves. The importance of being able to help others in a supportive way is also very great. In a way, even people in the forefront of our activities are only supporting the whole activity of the Sangha. This is developing the faculty of rejoicing in merit.

*Aphorism*

We should consider, not so much how our actions stand in relation to one another, as how they stand in relation to Reality.

*Correspondence*

Surely we are very near to heaven, if not to nirvana, if we enjoy our work, and if our work is our life!

You learn what it is that you are trying to do in the process of trying to do it.

*A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture  
Correspondence*

The deeper the internal realization, the broader and stronger should be the outflow of energy. A spirituality that is sterile in respect of 'good works' is highly suspect. Of course, there is such a thing as purely spiritual action on higher levels of consciousness, and this is far more effective than ordinary action, but for most treaders of the spiritual path it is many, many years before this stage is reached, and meanwhile we have to busy ourselves with humble, everyday tasks of service on the mundane level.

Unless your work is your meditation, your meditation is not meditation.

*Aphorism*

It is wrong to distinguish between what a man is and what he does. There are no mute inglorious Miltons. If he is mute he is not Milton. That one does *not* do something is part of one's character.

*Aphorism*

*Aphorism* A skilful act is one which is an expression of will-to-growth rather than neurotic need, which is emotionally positive, which is an act of the total individual, and which is orientated towards a higher state of being.

*Aphorism* The true revolutionary does not play other people's games – including the game of violence.

*Aphorism* In the minds of those who prefer evolution to revolution there is always a conflict between loyalty to the past and loyalty to the future.

*Aphorism* When the old order dies, two possibilities reveal themselves: one, that the old order shall be restored under a new name; two, that a genuinely new order shall come into existence. At the beginning, it is very difficult to distinguish between the two.

*Aphorism* If one does not dream, one becomes a monster.

Some say they experience the will as `free'. But one who experiences it as free and one who experiences it as unfree, as determined, have in fact the same experience. Both have the experience of *acting*. On this experience they superimpose the ideas – not to say the fictions – of freedom and bondage.

*Aphorism*

Perfect work comes from the unification of pure wisdom and pure deeds.

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

Most of our friends find that they need to alternate between exertion and relaxation, work and meditation. Eventually the two must become one, or rather must interfuse, the one not getting in the way of the other. Meanwhile, we need to pursue both, at different times. Both are necessary to our spiritual development.

*Correspondence*

POLITICS AND THE STATE

*Aphorism* WHILE OTHER PEOPLE are busy making up our minds for us in small matters, we are at liberty to make up our own in great.

*The Stages of the  
Spiritual Path.  
Lecture* Nowadays there's quite a lot of talk about freedom, and most people, it seems, think that freedom means simply doing what one likes. But the Buddhist conception of freedom is rather different.... In the first place it's ... complete freedom from all subjective emotional and psychological bias, complete freedom from prejudice, from all psychological conditioning. Secondly [it is] freedom from all wrong views, all ignorance, all false philosophy and mere opinion. It is this sort of freedom, this total spiritual freedom – freedom of heart and mind, at the highest possible level, at the summit of one's existence – which is the aim and object of Buddhism.

*Aphorism* The fact that the second precept<sup>2</sup> is worded in terms of 'not taking the not-given' suggests that, ethically speaking, there is no such thing as rights. All rights are based upon power, i.e. on capacity to exert force.

Buddhism, properly and deeply understood, and thoroughly and extensively applied, is *revolutionary*. It is revolutionary, that is to say, within the context of the established order. It is in this realization that our breakthrough consists: in the realization of the fact that Buddhism has to transform every aspect of our lives and be not just something that we theoretically understand, not just a little hobby with which we occupy ourselves once or twice a week, but the transforming agent, the transforming influence – the catalyst, if you like – of our lives.

*Breaking Through  
into Buddhahood.  
Lecture*

Awareness is revolutionary.

*Aphorism*

In what does the extension of the FWBO consist? Not in the publicizing of a personality, not in the popularization of an idea, but in the growth of a new society and a new world.

*Aphorism*

*Aphorism* Negative emotions are much stronger in man than positive emotions. Any individual or organization that wants to influence or control people in large numbers, in the mass, therefore does so by manipulating their negative emotions. The Catholic Church plays upon fear, the Communist Party on hate, Capitalism on greed, totalitarian states on feelings of inadequacy and inferiority. One may also say that in time of peace the state plays more on people's greed, in time of war more on their fear.

*Aphorism* A state is governed by a combination of force and inertia – force, on the part of the minority; inertia, on the part of the majority.

*Aphorism* Tradition was once innovation; what is now innovation may one day be tradition.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture* Your power should be the function of your being.

## STANZAS

`Hammer your thoughts into a unity.'  
This line once read  
The sound came clangingly  
Of golden hammers in my head  
Beating and beating sheet on sheet  
To make the figured foil complete.

Religion, friendship, art  
Were hammered there  
On the cyclopean anvils of my heart  
Into an image bright and fair.  
Under the strain the forge-floor split;  
Nerveless the arms that fashioned it.

#### FOURTH METAMORPHOSIS

Too long have I been a camel  
Ship of the Desert  
Too long knelt to be laden  
With other men's merchandise.

Too long have I been a lion  
Lord of the Jungle  
Too long fought  
Paper-and-tinsel dragons

Too long have I been a child  
Parent of the Future

Now it is time to be  
Myself.

## DREAM

Nightrace of silver-white coach of ghostly  
Sledge maybe chariot drawn  
By white horses, nightrace  
Through whitewinter landscape through frozen-  
Fast-world. In the back, behind me, –  
Arms slightly spread, rime-bright hair  
Stiff on your shoulders, palms  
Open, cold blue eyes staring, – you  
Silverking deadking driving  
Towards Spring towards Winter  
Who knows.

THE ARTS

*The Religion of Art* ART IS THE ORGANIZATION of sensuous impressions into pleasurable formal relations that express the artist's sensibility and communicate to his audience a sense of values that can transform their lives.

*The Path of the Inner Life* It has been recognized even in the West (by Schopenhauer) that all great Art contains an element of self-transcendence akin to that which constitutes the quintessence of religion. When this element of self-transcendence is consciously cultivated in poetry, in music, or in painting and sculpture, instead of the element of mere sensuous appeal, Art ceases to be a form of sensuous indulgence and becomes a kind of spiritual discipline, and the highest stages of aesthetic contemplation become spiritual experiences.

*Art and Spiritual Life. Lecture* One cannot be an artist without at the same time participating in the Higher Evolution.

*Aphorism* All art is (among other things) expression. But not all expression is art.

When we experience a work of art we momentarily experience the same state of mind that the artist experienced when he created that work. Thus ... the artist contributes to the Higher Evolution of other people and of the race.

*Art and Spiritual  
Life. Lecture*

Perhaps only in the field of poetry have the English been truly great.

*Aphorism*

Coleridge, as a thinker, was a sublime abortion. He had greater mental endowment than any Englishman perhaps ever had, and he would have been one of the greatest religious thinkers of all time. But he was severely hampered, crippled even, by his attachment to orthodox Christianity. His inability to reconcile the Trinitarian Christian in him with his own true, original genius was the cause, not the effect, of his famous indolence.

*Aphorism*

Coleridge was the greatest thinker the English have produced, only his Christianity did not allow him to think.

*Aphorism*

- Aphorism* D.H. Lawrence's writings are not works of art, but the works of an artist. When he does achieve a 'work of art', therefore, it seems both natural and miraculous.
- Aphorism* *Commentaries on Living*, by J. Krishnamurti – the title gives the whole show away completely.
- Aphorism* Milton's *Paradise Lost* is an imaginative statement of the whole dilemma of modern man.
- Aphorism* *Paradise Lost* has claim to be considered the most important work in English literature.
- Aphorism* If you are looking for evidence of rebirth, listen to Mozart's Symphony No. 1 – composed at the age of eight.
- Aphorism* Beethoven at his worst – and perhaps at his most characteristic and popular – is will pretending to be power.

The myth of Perseus and Medusa: he could cut off her head only by looking at it not directly, which would have petrified him, but indirectly, at the reflection in his shield. Similarly we cannot confront reality, especially our own psychic reality, directly: it would kill us. Art, etc. is the medium.

*Aphorism*

The spiritual aspirant is like Shelley's Skylark: while his understanding soars, his emotions sing. It is in this singing and soaring, in the simultaneous expansion of the understanding and the emotions, that we find the meaning of Buddhism and the value of art, and, in fact, the secret of spiritual life.

*Buddhism and Art*

The more creative someone is the less predictable he is.

*Seminar on the  
Ramaguna-  
Samchayagatha*

FRIENDSHIP

*Correspondence* VERY FEW PEOPLE KNOW the real meaning of friendship. More often than not, there is too much emphasis on sentiment, and too little on action. *Metta* is something that must be *lived*.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture* We should have strong feelings of *metta* towards our own self – don't forget that! – and towards others, strong feelings of spiritual fellowship. And when I say 'strong feelings' I mean *strong* feelings, not something tepid and lukewarm and half-hearted and faint-hearted, but really warm; even, if you like, a little hot! And strong, not feeble.

*Correspondence* Paradoxical though it may seem, being less concerned with oneself (in the selfish sense) and loving oneself (in the sense of directing *metta* to oneself) are not incompatible. It is, in fact, the person who does not truly love himself who is concerned with himself in an egocentric, even a neurotic, sort of way.

The cynic feels less than is justified; the sentimentalist more than is justified.

*Seminar on  
Dhyana for  
Beginners*

Only individuals can practise true friendliness.

*ibid.*

It is impossible for people to live together without mutual forgiveness.

*Aphorism*

Have sympathy for those who are going through a difficult phase – nearly everybody goes through it some time or another: if not this year, next year; if not this week, next week. Some of you, I know very well, were going through it last week – not to say the day before yesterday! We know this! Everybody has his turn. Everybody has a difficult phase to go through occasionally. So if you yourself are not going through a difficult phase, and somebody else is, be sympathetic – I mean, wisely sympathetic, not indulgent. Don't use the occasion as an opportunity for asserting your own relative, temporary superiority to that sort of thing.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

*Seminar on The  
Stability of  
Societies*

I think one should distinguish between: (1) neurotic craving for company as a means of escape from your own inner emptiness; (2) a healthy human desire for human contact; (3) a wish, or aspiration, for spiritual fellowship. We should have nothing to do with the first stage, a modest place for the second, and develop the third as much as we can.

*ibid.*

You must not relate to a neurotic person on the basis of his neurosis.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

Speaking in an ordinary sort of way – leaving aside transcendental things – positive emotion is the life-blood of the Order. If there's no positive emotion in the Order, there is no life in it at all, and no life, therefore, in the Movement. So the development of positive emotion in each one of us and in all of us in association with one another is absolutely crucial.

*Seminar on The  
Buddha*

Unless you are a healthy, growing individual – unless, as it were, you love yourself – you can't love others.

If a normal, healthy person loses someone who is near and dear to him, this is not neurotic suffering, this is real suffering... And one can genuinely and wholeheartedly sympathize with that person, and know that he will get over it in due course, because he is healthy. In a healthy person, there might be a slight element of neurosis, but nothing to speak of, as it were. In such a case, you know that time will heal the wound. Neurosis is not healed by time.

*Seminar on The  
Stability of  
Societies*

`What do you do for a living?' I asked. His hand shook so badly, as he lit another cigarette, that he knocked over the whisky bottle at his elbow. `Oh, I'm a psychiatrist,' he said. `I help people who have problems.'

*Aphorism*

We are not kept going by abstract ideas, we are kept going by our emotions. It is our positive emotions which keep us going on the spiritual Path – which give us inspiration, enthusiasm and so on – until such time as we can develop Perfect Wisdom and be motivated by that.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

*A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture*

If you are not happy with yourself, if you are not at ease with yourself, if you don't like yourself – and many people nowadays, unfortunately, don't like themselves – you can't like other people. Your so-called liking of other people mustn't be what Nietzsche called 'your bad love of yourself', by which he meant your disliking of yourself.

*ibid.*

I'd even go so far as to say that without strong positive emotion, no spiritual progress is possible. I'd even put it as strongly as that. This means that many people's first duty to themselves and to others is simply to be happy: to develop friendliness, compassion, sympathetic joy, equanimity, and reverence and devotion.

*Correspondence*

It is hardly possible to have a neutral attitude towards [parents], I think, which means that if one's attitude is not positive it is negative, and if one's attitude to those who are so closely connected with one as one's parents are is negative it is likely to have a deleterious effect on one's whole attitude towards life, including other people.

*The Four Limitless States.*<sup>3</sup> *Metta* is the basis of them all. When our friendliness comes into contact with suffering, compassion arises. When our friendliness comes into contact with other people's happiness, sympathetic joy arises. When friendliness, compassion, and sympathetic joy are developed equally towards all, then equanimity arises. Equanimity is not indifference; there's no equanimity without friendliness. We can also add reverence or devotion. This is experienced when the *metta*, the friendliness, is directed as it were upwards towards the ideal, the spiritual ideal.

*A Method of  
Personal  
Development.  
Lecture*

It is absolutely wrong to say that by 'isolating' oneself from the opposite sex in single-sex communities one loses the opportunity of contacting the 'other side' of one's nature, which the other sex symbolizes. The precise opposite is the case. In single-sex communities men and women tend to develop, and integrate into their own spiritual life and practice, the 'feminine' and 'masculine' qualities which are normally projected on to the opposite sex and which normally *stay projected*.

*Correspondence*

*Correspondence* As for friendship, it is probably true that you have never – or only very fleetingly – experienced the nature of real friendship, and have never been anyone's friend. *Real friendship is very rare indeed*, and if you haven't experienced it, neither have a lot of other people, including many who would like to think they have. The fact that real friendship is so rare should not make us cynical, of course, but only more determined to develop real friendship, and be a real friend, ourselves, and the first step towards developing a quality is realizing that we don't have it.

*ibid.* More and more I think that communication cannot be hurried, and that friendship needs time and patience for its development.

*ibid.* Having once met, we can never really be separated, even though we may be physically apart, and though no spoken or written word may pass between us for a long time.

Getting truly to know another human being is like exploring a new continent – or another world. One plunges into abysses, wanders among lofty mountains, is lost in the depths of mysterious forests, rests in bowers of roses with the brook sparkling beside one and the birds singing in the branches overhead, and stands on lonely shores gazing out over the illimitable expanse of sunlit waters.

*Aphorism*

Unless one has lived completely alone for a while one hardly knows what life is all about – certainly unless one is able to live alone one is not really able to live with other people.

*Correspondence*

It is not enough to sympathize with something to such an extent that one agrees with it. If necessary, one must sympathize to such an extent that one disagrees.

*Aphorism*

Angels are to men as men are to women – because they are more human and, therefore, more divine.

*Aphorism*

*Correspondence* The best relationships ... are surely those which are based on sincerity and straightforwardness, on mutual trust and confidence, which grow stronger and more satisfying with the years, and into which the element of game-playing never enters.

*ibid.* So long as you are aiming at Enlightenment, you will be relating, directly or indirectly, to everybody else who is aiming at Enlightenment, and that is the only form of relating that really matters.

*ibid.* In the FWBO we do not have a puritanical attitude towards sex, but at the same time it must be recognized that it belongs to the lower, not to the Higher, evolution of man, and that its place is at the periphery rather than at the centre of our existence. Nowadays people tend to 'over-invest' in the sexual-romantic type of relationship, with the result that their emotional balance is constantly being threatened and peace of mind becomes impossible of achievement. So long as this state of affairs continues, no real spiritual progress is possible.

Positive human relationships have their own value, but a *spiritual* relationship, i.e. a relationship based on the effective pursuit of a common spiritual ideal – in our case the ideal of Enlightenment – is an entirely different matter, and the one must never be mistaken for the other. *ibid.*

So far as I can see, the 'woman question' is a difficulty only to the extent that men are weak, i.e. are emotionally dependent on women. If men are 'strong', i.e. are not emotionally dependent in this manner, then they can provide women with the kind of support that most of them seem to need, as well as get on with the task of their own spiritual development. Paradoxically, a woman can really rely on a man only if he is committed to something that is more important to him than she is. If she is the most important thing in his life, then heaven help her – and him. *ibid.*

To a man, celibacy means that he is deprived of sex; to a woman, that she is not wanted. *Aphorism*

*Correspondence* Between male and female there will always be war, or at least tension. The only solution is for both men and women to try to develop both 'masculine' and 'feminine' qualities within themselves and relate to one another as individuals. This may well involve the separation of the sexes, so that neither is tempted to project on to the other the qualities which it lacks.

*ibid.* Whether in the case of men or women, I am not convinced by the argument that one needs sex in order to keep in touch with one's emotions. It is true that sex does give one, momentarily, a feeling of warmth towards others, but this is really no more than the 'good mood' into which any kind of sense satisfaction is able to put one. It bears no resemblance to the experience of *metta*, which takes place on quite another level, and it is a great mistake to confuse the two, or to think that the one brings one any nearer to the other.

*Aphorism* To the extent that one is dominated by the sex-instinct one is not an individual.

In the case of a mentally healthy person his or her principal support is always within, so that even when, owing to changed circumstances, external supports in the form of personal relationships are no longer there, he or she is able to go on functioning as before with little or no difficulty.

*Correspondence*

A married man is like a donkey tethered to a post: within a restricted area he can move about as he wishes. An unmarried man, who carries on a succession of `affairs', is like a convict carrying a ball and chain: he is free to go wherever he pleases, but he has to carry with him the iron ball, and this is so heavy that every now and then he has to stop and rest, temporarily immobilized.

*Aphorism*

Any normal person ought to be able to live alone.

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

You can't really live with other people unless you can live without them. If you're happy on your own, you can

*Seminar on The  
Stability of  
Societies*

be happy with others. If you can't be happy on your own, you can't be happy with others. In that case you're with them, not because you want to be with them, but because you don't want to be on your own. Your being with them isn't a real being with them. It isn't positive. It is only an escape from yourself.

*Seminar on the  
Sutta-Nipata*

There is not much point in giving up sex as a sort of discipline when the neurotic craving and dependence is still there, and maybe finding outlets in other ways. You may be still just as neurotically attached to your dog or cat.

*ibid.*

I sometimes say that there are two kinds of sexuality: neurotic and non-neurotic. The non-neurotic is when there is sexual activity, not through any need for security – for example, through sex, or through the 'relationship' – but just because you are young and healthy. Neurotic sexuality is where there is not only the actual sexual urge, but also an infantile craving for security, contact, warmth, and so on, through sexual relationship or

activity. It may be, in the case of some people, that they do experience higher states of consciousness ... yet at the same time a certain amount of sexual activity may go on, but this will certainly be non-neurotic. However,... the great test is how you feel if the relationship breaks up. If your partner, or lover, or whatever, says 'Bye-bye! I've found someone else,' if you can say 'That's great! See you some time,' and just accept it happily, then you had no neurotic craving along with your sexual relationship and activity. But if you are 'cut up', upset, and disturbed, and can't meditate for months, well, obviously there has been a strong neurotic element. So that is the criterion,... whether one can remain happy whatever happens, whatever storms may come.

## LIFE IS KING

Hour after hour, day  
After day we try  
To grasp the Ungraspable, pinpoint  
The Unpredictable. Flowers  
Wither when touched, ice  
Suddenly cracks beneath our feet. Vainly  
We try to track birdflight through the sky trace  
Dumb fish through deep water, try  
To anticipate the earned smile the soft  
Reward, even  
Try to grasp our own lives. But Life  
Slips through our fingers  
Like snow. Life  
Cannot belong to us. We  
Belong to Life. Life  
Is King.

### AT THE BARBER'S

Talkative one morning, the Cypriot barber  
Asked me what I did for a living.  
'Write', I replied, not feeling  
Particularly communicative. 'You write!  
What do you write?' 'I write poetry.'

Ah, delight of the suspended scissors, exhilaration  
Of the raised comb! 'You write  
*Poetry!*'

    In depths of the mirror behind him  
Athenian walls standing intact,  
Long-haired warriors spared for great verses.

THE SPIRITUAL COMMUNITY

*Aphorism* A GROUP IS UNITED by its lowest common denominator, a spiritual community by its highest common factor.

*Aphorism* An orchestra is a spiritual community – at least while it is playing.

*Aphorism* The relation between the individual and the 'positive community' should be like that between soloist and orchestra in a concerto.

*Seminar on The Precious Garland* Lay life may be said to be any situation which prevents one's higher development. This may occur even within the context of a formally monastic situation.

*Seminar on The Door of Liberation* In a spiritual movement you can have a teacher but you can't have a leader.

*Aphorism* One should try to avoid close association *only* with those with whom one feels close affinities: one should attempt to go beyond this level – this affords great opportunities to see one's own reactions at work.

Despite their different life-styles, Order members in particular should be able to relate to one another in a thoroughly positive manner, on the basis of their common commitment to the Three Jewels<sup>4</sup> – a commitment which far transcends all social differences.

*Correspondence*

You can't really commit yourself to something that you know. To commit yourself to the known is a contradiction in terms. You always commit yourself to the unknown. At least there is always an unknown element in that to which you commit yourself.

*Seminar on the  
Ramaguna-  
Samchayagatha*

If you have seen through something, you're no longer involved in it. You withdraw from it. It's just like seeing a mirage in a desert. At first we may be very interested in those palm trees and that apparent oasis, and we may be hastening in that direction. But as soon as we see that it's a *fata morgana*, and isn't really there, then we're no longer really interested. We stop, and don't hasten in that direction any longer.

*The Stages of the  
Spiritual Path.  
Lecture*

*The Path of  
Regular and the  
Path of Irregular  
Steps. Lecture*

We speak of lay ordination, monastic ordination, and even Bodhisattva<sup>5</sup> ordination, but all three are ordinations: the same word, *samvara*,<sup>6</sup> is applied to each and every one of them, upasaka,<sup>7</sup> bhikshu,<sup>8</sup> and Bodhisattva all equally going for Refuge. The upasaka goes for Refuge, the bhikshu goes for Refuge, and the Bodhisattva, perhaps in a higher and deeper sense still, goes for Refuge: all three commit themselves. Any difference between them is simply as regards the number, and in the case of the Bodhisattva, the kind, of precepts observed. So that what the monk and the layman, the bhikshu and the upasaka, have in common, is far more important than what they do not have in common. What they have in common is the three Refuges.<sup>9</sup> And nothing can be more important for the Buddhist than that.

*ibid.* This is the root, this is the foundation, this is the absolute bedrock of our spiritual life; this is how we really start practising the Path – by Going for Refuge.

*Question:* Can a mitra<sup>10</sup> who joins in with the chanting of the Refuges and Precepts, be said to go for Refuge, even though he is not an *upasaka*?<sup>7</sup>

*Aphorism*

*Answer:* There is no question of an absolute difference, as between light and darkness, between one who has gone for Refuge and one who has not. Intermediate degrees exist. Even if one merely thinks about going for Refuge – even merely hears about it – to an infinitesimal degree one has gone for Refuge.

Honest collision is better than dishonest collusion.

*Aphorism*

MORALITY

*Aphorism* IF VALUES DO NOT EXIST one must create them.  
Otherwise one cannot evolve.

*Aphorism* Hitler's killing the Jews was an evil action; but it was  
evil because they were human beings, not because they  
were Jews.

*Aphorism* It is easy to forgive people their vices. What is  
sometimes more difficult is to forgive them their virtues.

*Aphorism* One stone divides the whole stream.

*Aphorism* For `confession' to be possible, there should be no  
feeling of guilt.

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation* It is the spirit of renunciation which renders fruitful all  
one's religious observances.

*Aphorism* Better a live sinner than a dead saint.

I am much worse than people think I am, and also much better. *Aphorism*

One cannot be what one should be merely by closing one's eyes to what one is. *Aphorism*

Sometimes we think we are being patient when we are only being persistent. *Aphorism*

To a truly honest man, it is a source of no satisfaction whatever to be credited with successes he has not achieved or virtues he does not possess. *Aphorism*

You can only lead a simple life if you remember what life is really for. The purpose of simplicity is not to let the business of living get in the way of the things that are of importance. *Seminar on The Door of Liberation*

Unless one solves the problem of Right Livelihood (or rather, the problem of wrong livelihood), to some extent at least, spiritual development can be quite difficult. *Correspondence*

*Aphorism* Hardly anybody is willing to give. Everybody wants to get. We search for someone without `needs' so that we can obtain from him everything for nothing, as it were. Is this, perhaps, the reason why Gurdjieff insisted that everything must be paid for? Perhaps it is immoral to give something for nothing – one is encouraging greed and selfishness. At the time of Tantric initiation one has to make a cash payment to the guru. How much one is prepared to give shows how much – or how little – one values the initiation.

*Seminar on The Precious Garland* Giving is the natural, unforced interchange of one's energy with that of others. In this sense real giving is receiving.

*Aphorism* We should receive rather than take, give rather than impose, share rather than divide.

*Aphorism* We often think that people are behaving most characteristically when they are behaving most badly. We often think the same thing about ourselves.

One should not waste time helping the weak. Nowadays it is the strong who need help. *Aphorism*

There is no feeling of joy without a feeling of strength. The weak, therefore, cannot be joyful. *Aphorism*

If we want a definition of Faith we may say that it is the response, even the emotional response, of what is ultimate in us to what is ultimate in the Universe. *The Stages of the Spiritual Path. Lecture*

Faith is one's emotional response to something higher than oneself. And because it is higher one cannot possibly understand it. *Seminar on The Door of Liberation*

Genuine devotion dissolves whatever is not genuine in the object of devotion. You can't be taken in, can't be deceived, if you enter into a situation with genuine feelings, with true integrity. *ibid.*

Faith is innate, doubt acquired. *Aphorism*

*Aphorism* According to the *Abhidharma*, faith (*shraddha*) is present in all skilful mental states. Faith consists in being aware of, and responsive to, that which is higher. All skilful mental states are, therefore, essentially progressive. The terrifying corollary of this last fact is, of course, that all unskilful mental states have an inherent tendency to deteriorate, i.e. to become still more unskilful. There is never a state of perfect equilibrium between the skilful and the unskilful. One either ascends or descends in the scale of consciousness. Once one has passed the 'point of no return', however, there is only the possibility of ascent.

*Aphorism* Monks should appear to come from another world, not just another country.

*Aphorism* There are four kinds of disciples: disciples who are like patients; disciples who are like friends; disciples who are like sons; and disciples who are like lovers.

There is no question of our making sacred cows of anything, but rather of trying to develop a spirit of reverence.... One might go so far as to say that it is better to have sacred cows, even in the literal sense, than to hold nothing sacred.

*Correspondence*

Gurus are the middlemen of religion.

*Aphorism*

In all traditional civilizations the relation between master and disciple was one of the basic facts of life, and to be without a teacher was synonymous with being without education or culture of any kind.

*A Survey of  
Buddhism*

The good is very often the enemy of the best.

*Seminar on The  
Stability of  
Societies*

EMOTION AND REASON

*The Path of the  
Inner Life*

THE EARLY STAGES of the career of a spiritual aspirant are a period of unceasing struggle between the lower and higher impulses of his nature. On the outcome of this struggle depends the success or failure of his vocation. If he is able to resist the solicitations of the objects of perception and turn his senses as it were inside out, like the five fingers of a glove, thus reversing their direction, they will merge into a single inner sense, and with this subtle inner sense he will be able to perceive spiritual realities.

*Seminar on The  
Buddha*

*The over-developed intellect and the under-developed emotions* – it's really a pathetic sort of combination; but most people have this combination in some degree, don't they? In your conscious mind you're a great big man, but unconsciously you're just a little child – possibly, in some cases, crying for mother – or even a baby just howling for the breast.

I was reading a book the other day which had a chapter called 'The Pathology of Thinking', and it said – and this is one of the things that I've been saying lately – that excessive thinking is pathological. *ibid.*

One of the ways in which one can counterbalance excessive thinking is through contact with Nature and the elements. One can develop one's sense consciousness (for want of a better term) by contact with earth, fire, water, space – it's as simple as that. This will take a lot of the tension and one-sidedness out of one's mental development, and out of one's will. *ibid.*

## REMEMBERING THE RETREAT

At the wood's edge, a solitary hut;  
Sharing my quiet room, a single friend.  
Here on the table, two or three books of verse;  
There on the shelf, half a dozen frost-blackened violets. Hour  
after hour, we exchange only a few words;  
Day after day, I polish a single poem.  
Who would have thought it? A whole world of content  
Found in these things!

If your emotions are here and your reason as it were there, then it's a question of being guided either by your reason or by your emotions – which means you're not an integrated person. The integrated person acts as a total person. There is emotionality in what he does, and also reason – meaning a sort of aware recognition of certain objective facts and circumstances and possibilities.

*Seminar on the  
Ramaguna-  
Samchayagatha*

When we are totally integrated, and our reason is our emotion and our emotion our reason, it's quite difficult for us to say, sometimes, whether we do things on account of certain reasons or just because we feel like doing them. We have become as it were one: one whole.

*ibid.*

It is very important to develop a very positive emotional counterpart to one's intellectual understanding, and this is where the development of *maitri*, *karuna*, *mudita*, *upeksha*<sup>3</sup> – to say nothing of faith and devotion – comes in.

*Seminar on The  
Buddha*

*ibid.* Inasmuch as our emergence as individuals, or at least [as] the beginnings of individuals, has been via the development of independent thinking, we have above all else to be on the look-out that our one-sided thinking doesn't develop too disproportionately, and that we give a great deal of attention to the development of all the other sides of ourselves, especially the emotional: that we reinforce that as much as possible and lift our emotions to a correspondingly high level. Otherwise there will be no development, no emergence of true individuality. We shall all have dragons' heads and snakes' bodies.

*Seminar on  
Outlines of  
Mahayana  
Buddhism* It seems to me that the strength of the Tantric tradition, the Vajrayana<sup>11</sup> tradition, Tibetan Buddhism generally, is the presence in it of symbols. But it seems that in Japanese Buddhism, at least as presented by Suzuki and others, you've got philosophy on the one hand and emotion on the other, and what should be symbols, traditionally, have become converted simply into objects of emotion. So there's no unification taking place.

Seeing is active, hearing is passive. When one visualizes the form of a Buddha or Bodhisattva, and hears the sound of his mantra, one is being simultaneously active and passive, 'masculine' and 'feminine'.

*Aphorism*

When you start thinking, you start thinking of what is possible. But the mere fact that it is possible does not mean that it is desirable. When you develop, or over-develop, the thinking faculty, you tend to think that because you can *think* of doing something, that is sufficient reason for doing it. In this way, imbalance develops. A very good example of this in modern times is the space programme. It's as though, if you can think of doing something, you should do it. But the capacity for abstract thinking runs far ahead of the development, or even the needs, of the organism as a whole.

*Seminar on The Buddha*

Opposites are not to be eliminated, but reconciled within a higher unity.

*Aphorism*

THE INDIVIDUAL

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

TO BEGIN WITH, when we come – I might even say, staggering – along to our first meditation class, maybe from the office, from home, or wheresoever, we don't have any real individuality. We are usually just a bundle of conflicting desires and selves, both conscious and unconscious, loosely tied together with the thread of a name and address! When we practise Mindfulness of Breathing, it helps bring them together; it at least tightens the string a little bit so that they aren't so loose in the middle; it makes of these different cravings and selves more of a definite, recognizable, identifiable bundle.

In the individual, when you force your organism to go along in accordance with something that you've merely thought, *because* you've thought it, then there's great strain on the organism. And this is the nature of mind; this is the nature of rational thinking. So inasmuch as it is through rational thinking that the individual begins to be emancipated from the group, the person who is emancipated from the group is, to begin with, an *unbalanced* person, a person whose thinking is in excess of his emotional development and so on. That is individualism. To become an individual, what you have to do is to restrain your one-sided intellectual development and cultivate your emotional side, and thus develop as an individual in a more integrated way – on a higher level, on a more conscious level – than the primitive member of the tribe. Once you've achieved that more all-round development which is covered in Buddhist teaching by the teaching of the Five Spiritual Faculties – then you can go on to develop your true individuality.

*Seminar on The Buddha*

## SCAPEGOAT

How did it feel  
To be left alone in the desert  
Loaded down with the sins  
Of a whole people?

How did it feel  
To have hanging round your neck  
Dragging on your horns clogging  
Your steps thousands of  
Thefts murders fornications  
Perjuries blasphemies –  
Sins of a whole people  
For a whole year?

How did it feel  
To be weighed down by all that,  
You just a black goat,  
Comparatively small?

Vultures circling  
Overhead, did you remember  
Hands of the High Priest on your head, flash  
Of the jewels on his breastplate, remember  
The last shouts dying behind you  
As you were left alone in the desert  
Crushed beneath the weight of the sins  
Of a whole people?

How did it feel?

Not so bad, I think,  
As being left alone in the universe  
With one's *own* guilt.

Mankind should be grateful  
To goats.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

You can't commit yourself unless there is just one individuality to commit itself.

*Aphorism*

Because one is in a minority of one, it does not necessarily follow that one is more of an individual.

*Seminar on The  
Buddha*

When we speak of the emergence of the individual from the group, we are not to think of an all-round-developed individual emerging from the group. It doesn't seem to happen that way. What happens is that, usually, emancipation from the group occurs via the intellect, via the development of independent thinking, and that, therefore, what emerges is an unbalanced person, a one-sidedly developed person, and that one-sidedly developed person we still have very much with us. Therefore one of the most pressing tasks, within the context of individual development, is to bring the emotions up into harmony with the intellect, and have a more all-round development.

Having prised yourself free from the group and the group consciousness, to some extent, by means of your developed intelligence, you haven't got to continue developing just your intelligence more and more – you've almost got to stop developing your intelligence (that's developed sufficiently for the time being) and develop the rest of you and achieve a sort of *emotional* independence of the tribe: a development of emotion as a true individual.

*ibid.*

It is usually your thinking faculty which is the means of your emancipation from the group, but, because it is on account of the over-development of the thinking faculty that you've emancipated yourself from the group, when you are emancipated, or at least to some extent emancipated, you find yourself a one-sidedly developed person. And very often you find yourself in a situation of conflict, because mentally you have emancipated from the group, but emotionally, to a great extent, you still belong to it!

*Seminar on The Buddha*

*ibid.* Nowadays we've got quite a lot of individualists: people who are mentally emancipated from the group, though still belonging to it emotionally; people who are capable of a great deal of mental activity, and who sometimes try to gear the whole of their being to the purely one-sided ideals of that over-developed intellect. But it would seem that this is a necessary stage of development.

*ibid.* *Q.* You say that it's a necessary intermediate step. Can't you go straight to work on your emotions without going through this intellectual phase?

*A.* It would seem not. I don't say that you can't. I mean, if you've got favourable conditions, yes, I think you could; but in the modern world those favourable conditions hardly ever exist.

*ibid.* It is, in a way, a necessary process. For most people, to develop in any other way is difficult. They start thinking independently usually before they become independent in any other way.

The teaching of the Buddha was concerned with the development of the individual. Admittedly that development had, through ethics, a social dimension, but the Buddha was not concerned with 'something much wider, the whole realm of sentient Being' in opposition, as it were, to the individual. He was not concerned with the development of the group as such, or the well-being of the group as such: he was concerned with the development of individuals *as* individuals. *ibid.*

Every true individual is a whole species in himself. *Aphorism*

Individuals are incommensurable. *Aphorism*

One can legislate for the group, but not for the individual. *Aphorism*

One can generalize about people only to the extent that they are not individuals. *Aphorism*

*Aphorism*     **Jewels are produced only by the collision of immense forces.**

*The Individual, the Group, and the Spiritual Community.*     **Truth is more likely to be with the individual than with the group.**

*Lecture*

*ibid.*     **The group is always wrong.**

TEACHING AND STUDY

*Correspondence*    WHAT IS NEEDED so far as [real help in the actual spiritual development of the individual] is concerned is not books, but the living context of a spiritual fellowship and concrete spiritual teachings appropriate to one's actual requirements. In other words, there is a great deal that we do not need to know, that we can safely ignore. Then why is it that we go on reading? Only too often, I suspect, it is because reading, including the reading of religious literature, has become a form of mental distraction. However, if even the reading of good religious books does not help us much, what is one to say of the reading of bad books – books which are the product of ill-digested information, muddled thinking, unconscious rationalization, and downright spiritual delusion and confusion? And such books are in the majority.

*Seminar on The Door of Liberation*    There is a great deal to be said for a thorough knowledge of a small number of works, rather than a superficial knowledge of many.

Many years ago, I constantly asked myself: `How does this teaching relate to one's actual spiritual experience, spiritual life, and spiritual development? Why did the Buddha say this? Why was the Buddha concerned with this? Where does it connect up with spiritual life?' And I found that very, very few scholars ever thought in those terms. In many cases it didn't even seem to occur to them to do so – even to Buddhists themselves, very often. As though it was just a sort of game, you know, that had no relevance to life and no bearing on the spiritual life or on spiritual development as an individual.

*Seminar on The Buddha*

It is fashionable among some modern `intellectuals' to run down the intellect. In this way they seek to give the impression that they have transcended its limitations. However, their understanding of the limitations of the intellect remains – purely intellectual.

*ibid.*

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

To people immersed in worldly states of mind, the Dharma<sup>12</sup> will inevitably appear negative because it opposes what they have based their lives on.

*Seminar on The  
Buddha*

Only from the intellectual or dogmatic scientific point of view is it possible to regard Buddhism as being merely one among hundreds of other possible subjects of scholarly research and doctoral dissertation. To one who studies it with some attempt at approximation to the fully traditional method ... it can appear as nothing less than what it actually is, the dominating and controlling influence of the whole of life.

*ibid.*

The quest for holiness, which the study of the Dharma subserves, is a quest for spiritual wholeness, for complete integration of the 'personality' not with any subjective principle merely, but with Reality.

*Aphorism*

The Dharma is the Buddha's Enlightenment objectified, and therefore falsified.

The more committed should *help* the less committed rather than feel impatient with them; the less committed should *revere* the more committed and be grateful to them, rather than feel inferior and resentful.

*Correspondence*

One is able to communicate the Dharma, through any medium, only to the extent that one has realized it in one's own heart and mind, one's own life. Realization of the Dharma must therefore logically – and spiritually – precede the expression and communication of the Dharma. This is not to say that only a fully enlightened being is able to teach, but simply that before communicating one must have something to communicate, even though one discovers what that is only in the process of communication.

*ibid.*

One of the biggest sources of confusion and misunderstanding here in the West, so far as Buddhism is concerned, is that we have talked in terms of `monks', `monasteries', etc., which gives a totally wrong impression of the Buddhist spiritual life. I really do wish

*ibid.*

we could get rid of all these terms once and for all – including the word `religion'.

*ibid.* We are usually able to bear much more than we – and others – think we can.

*ibid.* People should be encouraged to rely on themselves to the extent that they are really *able* to rely on themselves. But it is no use expecting the baby to walk on his own two feet before his limbs are strong enough to support his body. It is the same with psychological and spiritual babies as with physical ones. Mother must not get impatient. Help and encourage them to grow up, by all means, but don't try to thrust independence on people who are not ready for it and who need, in fact, a further period of `dependence' (perhaps `apprenticeship' would be a better word) before being in a position to set up on their own.

*ibid.* If we could only *realize* a hundredth part of what we *understand* we should all become Buddhas on the spot.

One can only speak the truth to one person. The larger the number of people to whom you are speaking, the more will what you say become an approximation to the truth.

*Seminar on The Door of Liberation*

An academic is not an intellectual. An intellectual has a strong creative element in his thought.

*ibid.*

Theology is to mysticism as literary criticism is to poetry.

*Aphorism*

Philosophy is the rational construction of reality.

*Aphorism*

Genuine emotional involvement in the spiritual life, as distinct from the initial sensation of excitement and discovery, usually requires much more time for its development than intellectual involvement.

*Correspondence*

The Higher Evolution consists in the conscious acceptance of the action of the Absolute upon oneself and others.

*Aphorism*

*Aphorism*

The teachings contained in the *Dhammapada*<sup>13</sup> are literal truth, and deserve to be engraved on our hearts in letters of gold – or fire.

HOMAGE TO WILLIAM BLAKE

My Spectre stands there white as snow;  
Whate'er I ask, he answers `No'.  
Till I can melt him with my fire  
He blocks the path of my desire.

My Emanation, weak and poor,  
Lies outstretched upon the floor.  
Till I can claim her for my own  
Both of us must howl and groan.

Therefore will I, all I can,  
Build up complete the Fourfold Man,  
Head and heart, and loins fine,  
And hands and feet, all made divine.

Banish single vision far!  
With double vision ever war!  
Fourfold vision night and day  
Light and guide you on your way.

In that fourfold vision bright  
See the whole world with delight.  
Rock and stone, and flower and tree,  
And bird and beast, are men like thee.

Men like thee, and women too,  
Androgynous, ever-new –  
Divine Imaginations free  
Exulting in Eternity.

MEDITATION

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

**MEDITATION IS the uninterrupted production of skilful mental states.**

*The Stages of the  
Spiritual Path.  
Lecture*

When we are completely happy, and all our emotional energies are unified, then we are concentrated, in the true sense. Hence we may say that a concentrated person is a happy person, a happy person is a concentrated person, and the happier we are, the longer we shall be able to stay concentrated. We find it difficult to stay concentrated for very long because we are not happy with our present state. If we were really and truly happy we wouldn't need to do anything else: we would just stay still enjoying that happiness. But we are not happy, we are dissatisfied, and so we get restless, and go searching for this, searching for that – for some distraction, some diversion – and in this way there is no concentration.

*Seminar on  
Dhyana for  
Beginners*

**Sloth is the weight of one's actual existence resisting further evolving.**

MEDITATION 123

In the period of sleep, one's mindfulness is impeded. Meditation helps one to maintain the creativity of the dream state into waking life, blending the richness of dream state with the clarity of the waking state.

*Seminar on The  
Door of Liberation*

In a sleep you come to the class, in a sleep you listen to the lecture, in a sleep even you meditate.... You may not actually be dead but all these activities are carried on in sleep.

*Meditation: the  
Expanding  
Consciousness.  
Lecture*

Concentration ... is a union, or a marriage if you like, of the forces of the depths with the forces of the heights.

*ibid.*

Heaven, the ultimate goal of so many faiths, since it is a mode of contingent and hence of transitory existence, is accounted no more than a pleasant interlude in a pilgrimage fundamentally of more serious import.

*The Three Jewels*

The object of meditation is to transform oneself, not to have good meditations.

*Aphorism*

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

The Four Superconscious States, the four so-called lower *dhyanas*, are within the reach of everybody who meditates systematically and regularly.

*Aphorism*

A *dhyana* is not a `state' in which `we' are, but a way in which we reorganize our being.

*The Path of  
Regular and the  
Path of Irregular  
Steps. Lecture*

When one mentions `Meditation without Any Steps At All' one at once finds people becoming rather interested. They're not at all interested in `Meditation by Regular Steps': *that* sounds rather dull, rather prosaic. `Meditation by Irregular Steps' appeals to them quite a bit, but what *really* captivates and fascinates them is this idea of `Meditation with No Steps At All'!... Unfortunately the attraction is usually entirely for the wrong reasons!

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

*Shunyata*<sup>14</sup> could well be rendered `Death' because it is the death of everything conditioned, and it is only when the conditioned individuality dies that the unconditioned individuality begins to emerge.

To state the matter axiomatically, we may say that a higher stage of the Path cannot be developed in its fullness, or even to a moderate extent, before a lower stage of the Path has been developed in its fullness. This is the basic principle.

*The Path of  
Regular and the  
Path of Irregular  
Steps. Lecture*

In meditation, as we go deeper and deeper, we often experience a great fear. Sometimes people shy away from this fear, but it is good to allow oneself to experience it. That fear occurs when we feel what may be called 'the touch of *shunyata*', the touch of Reality, on the conditioned self. And the touch of Reality on the conditioned self feels like death. It *is* death, for the conditioned self, so the conditioned self feels afraid.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

It would appear that, for some people at least, the experience of causeless, nameless fear, often prolonged, and of great intensity, is a necessary part of the process of spiritual self-development. But the function of experience, however dreadful, is positive. Indeed one might say, the more dreadful, the more positive.

*Correspondence*

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture* *Shamatha*<sup>15</sup> develops and refines our conditioned  
individuality; *vipashyana*<sup>16</sup> breaks down that individual:  
enables us to see right through it.

*Aphorism* To say that matter is evil is to say that it is not material.

*The Three Jewels* For Buddhism, no less than for modern physics and  
psychology, all the apparently stable and solid material  
and mental objects in the universe are in reality  
temporary condensations of energy. Hence despite what  
some have assumed the use of such words as `states' and  
`elements' to mean, seeing conditioned things as  
impermanent does not consist in conceiving them as  
chopped up into bits (which would raise the artificial  
problem of how the bits were to be joined together  
again), but rather in seeing them as so many phases of  
one or the other of two pure, absolutely continuous,  
interdependent streams of energy which can be locked  
up in the atom, in the one case, and trapped in the  
individual mind, in the other.

MEDITATION 127

We swivel round and round in time on the pivot of eternity. *Aphorism*

True, time is short; but that is no reason for being in a hurry. *Aphorism*

Time is part of our structure of consciousness. *Seminar on The Door of Liberation*

Time, like space, is curved. To go forwards in time is, therefore, to go backwards, and vice versa. *Aphorism*

The true Esoteric Path, the true Secret Teaching, the true Doctrine of the Heart, the true Master, is not to be found in any book, or, indeed, anywhere at all in the outside world, but in the heart-depths of the spiritual experience of the individual devotee. *The Path of the Inner Life*

There is no infallible criterion by means of which the unenlightened can recognize the Enlightened. *Aphorism*

*The Path of the  
Inner Life*

Any practice which heightens one's ego-sense, however holy in popular estimation it may be, is unspiritual, and any practice which attenuates it, however mean and despicable outwardly it may seem, is spiritual in the truest and best sense of the term.

*A System of  
Meditation.  
Lecture*

The figure of a Bodhisattva, sublime and glorious though it may be, is, in fact, you – is the new you – you as you will be if only you allow yourself to die.

*ibid.*

Death is a state of enforced meditation.

WISDOM AND COMPASSION

*The Path of the  
Inner Life*

EVERY SINGLE THING in the universe, however mean or insignificant it may outwardly seem, bears deep within itself ... the trace of absolute purity and perfection. This is the famous Jewel which the great Sanskrit mantra *om mani padme hum*, so beloved of the people of Tibet, informs us lies hidden in what is, microcosmically speaking, the heart-lotus of every being, and what is, macrocosmically speaking, the world-lotus of mundane existence itself.

Thus it is possible to analyse every single object in the universe into an Absolute, nirvanic, or perfect aspect, and a relative, samsaric, and imperfect aspect. In Tantric Buddhism the former is often spoken of as the vajra or diamond aspect of existence. Everything possesses a diamond or noumenal aspect corresponding to its material or phenomenal aspect. Corresponding to the simple earthly flower springing up from the soil there is a transcendental Diamond Flower, which is that aspect of the flower in which it is perfumed by, or in which it reflects and is reflected by, the reality of Suchness.<sup>17</sup> Similarly, as the transcendental aspect of our fickle and

unsteady mundane mind there exists the mind which is 'pure and hard as flaming diamond', the Vajrachitta – human personality in its highest possible aspect of freeness, mutuality, and interpenetratingness with regard to all other things in the universe. That highest and most real aspect of existence in which everything interpenetrates every other thing, and wherein everything reflects, and is in turn reflected by, every other phenomenon (offering no obstruction to each other whatsoever, like the mutual interpenetration of innumerable beams of coloured light) is called the *Dharmadhatu*, the Realm of Truth, or the *Vajradhatu*, the Diamond World. The Bodhisattva aspires to live in this world, the world of realities, instead of in that presented by the ordinary mundane consciousness, the world of illusions.

The activity of emptiness is compassion.

*ibid.*

We purify ourselves truly by waking up to the fact that we have never become impure.

*Aphorism*

*The Path of the  
Inner Life*

Since the Path of the Inner Life consists essentially in a series of experiences, and since all experiences are by their very nature ineffable, it is also an Esoteric as opposed to an Exoteric Path. Nothing in the religious life is truly esoteric save spiritual experience. The most private ritual, the abstrusest philosophical doctrine, the most jealously guarded scripture, the most secret society or organization, are all exoteric. They belong to the domain of 'Head-learning' rather than to the domain of 'Soul-wisdom', and, as *The Voice of the Silence* emphatically admonishes us, it is above all things necessary to learn to separate the one from the other, to learn to discriminate between 'The Doctrine of the Eye' and 'The Doctrine of the Heart'.

*ibid.*

The individual self is a centre from which all lines of discrimination radiate in all directions. It is the innermost citadel of separateness. Only when this centre expands to infinity, only when the walls of this citadel are razed to the ground, is the consummation of the spiritual life achieved.

One is pure from the beginning; pure, if you like, from the beginningless beginning; pure by nature; pure essentially. For anyone brought up in a guilt-ridden culture like ours in the West, this sort of statement must surely come as a great positive shock: that in the depths of your being you are pure of all conditionality; pure of the very distinction between conditioned and unconditioned, and hence you are Void.

*ibid.*

We have the potentiality; if we make the effort we can get there [Enlightenment]. But we are not destined in the sense that we *must* gain Enlightenment, whether we like it or not, as it were! No. The *samsara*<sup>18</sup> can go on and on indefinitely. You can remain in it indefinitely. You can remain indefinitely unenlightened and go on, you know, to eternity, as it were. You are not 'destined' for Enlightenment! *You don't have to have Enlightenment if you don't want it!*

*Seminar on  
Outlines of  
Mahayana  
Buddhism*

No accumulation of karma<sup>19</sup> can get you to nirvana.<sup>20</sup>

*ibid.*

*The Three Jewels* According to Buddhism a man is not, strictly speaking, reborn as an animal, neither is he reborn as a man, nor as a god. What is `reborn', in the sense of becoming temporarily linked to an appropriate form, is the continuously changing stream of psychical energy.

*ibid.* Energy is primary, form secondary. It is not that man wills, but rather that will `mans'.

*The Three Jewels* To dedicate oneself to the salvation of others with the conviction that there in reality exist others who need saving is as much a source of bondage as to devote oneself to the task of one's own liberation under the impression that one has a real self to be liberated.

*Aphorism* One does not feel compassion for beings because they suffer. One simply feels compassion.

*Aphorism* A tiny speck of dust in the eye can prevent one from seeing. A single misunderstanding can obstruct one's vision of the Truth.

Purity is power.

*Aphorism*

The one root-illusion which prevents us from seeing things as they really are, and which it is the primary business of spiritual practice to remove, is the belief in ourselves as separate, perduring individual selves or ego-entities.

*The Path of the Inner Life*

When we see that the Path of the Inner Life, the true Esoteric Path, the Way of Emptiness and the Way of Compassion, and the Middle Way, are all aspects of the One Way, the Way taught by the Buddha, we begin to glimpse the profound truth of the saying that 'The Path is one for all, the means to reach the goal must vary with the Pilgrims.'

*ibid.*

I have no before or after, neither beginning nor end.

*Aphorism*

Growth in holiness is essentially growth in emptiness.

*The Path of the Inner Life*

*ibid.* He who conceives the spiritual life as a means of attaining eternal bliss has not understood. The whole conception of attainment is fundamentally wrong. One has simply to break down the barriers of his separative individuality and allow himself to be penetrated by everything that exists. Then he will himself penetrate everything. This mutual penetration is liberation, is happiness.

*Seminar on The Door of Liberation* The culmination of wisdom is freedom from all views. You have nothing to say. When all the answers are in your being, you have no need to keep them in your head.

*Aphorism* Peace is a fire.

## SOURCES

### SEMINARS BY SANGHARAKSHITA:

- Unpublished seminar on *The Dhammapada* trans. Sangharakshita  
(unpublished)
- Unpublished seminar on 'The Heartfelt Advice to Rechungpa' from  
*The 100,000 Songs of Milarepa*, trans. G.C.C. Chang (Shambhala,  
Boulder 1977)
- Unpublished seminar on 'The Itivuttaka' from *The Minor Anthologies of  
the Pali Canon, part II*, trans. F.L. Woodward (OUP, London 1948)
- Unpublished seminar on *The Jewel Ornament of Liberation* by  
Gampopa, trans. H.V. Guenther (Rider, London 1959)
- Unpublished seminar on 'The Mahaparinibbana Suttanta' from  
*Dialogues of the Buddha, part II*, trans. T.W. Rhys Davids (Luzac,  
London 1971)
- Unpublished seminar on *Outlines of Mahayana Buddhism* by  
D.T. Suzuki (Rider, London 1963)

- Unpublished seminar on 'The Precepts of the Gurus', from W.Y. Evans-Wentz, *Tibetan Yoga and Secret Doctrines* (OUP, Oxford 1960)
- Unpublished seminar on *The Precious Garland* by Nagarjuna, trans. Jeffrey Hopkins and Lati Rimpoche (George Allen and Unwin, London 1975)
- Unpublished seminar on 'The Nature of Existence' from Sangharakshita, *The Three Jewels* (Windhorse, Glasgow 1991)
- Unpublished seminar on 'The Samannaphala Sutta', from A.A.G. Bennett, *Long Discourses of the Buddha (Digha Nikaya)* (Chetana, Bombay n.d.)
- Unpublished seminar on *The Sevenfold Puja* compiled by Sangharakshita (Windhorse, Glasgow 1990)
- Unpublished seminar on *The Sutra of Golden Light*, trans. R.E. Emmerick (Pali Text Society, London 1979)
- Unpublished seminar on *The Tibetan Book of The Dead*, trans. W.Y. Evans-Wentz (OUP, London 1972)
- Unpublished seminar on 'The Udana' from *The Minor Anthologies of the Pali Canon, part II*, trans. F.L. Woodward (OUP, London 1948)
- Unpublished seminar on 'The Way of Wisdom' by E. Conze, from *The Wheel, vol.iv* (Buddhist Publication Society, Kandy 1980)

SOURCES 142

Unpublished seminar on *The Duties of Brotherhood in Islam* by  
Al Ghazali, trans. Muhtar Holland (Islamic Text Foundation,  
Leicester 1975)

Questions and Answers on Sangharakshita's lecture series 'The Noble  
Eightfold Path' published as *Vision and Transformation* (Windhorse,  
Glasgow 1990)

LECTURES BY SANGHARAKSHITA :

'Fifteen Points for Order Members (Old and New)', London 1993

'Buddhism and Education', Bombay 1982

'Fifteen Points for Buddhist Parents', London 1994

'On the Opening of the Manchester Buddhist Centre', Manchester 1996

The talks above that were delivered in London are available from

**DHARMACHAKRA TAPES, P.O. BOX 50, CAMBRIDGE, CB1 3BG**